REMARKS

Claims 1-53 are pending in the present application. As a result of a previous restriction

requirement, claims 17-44 were withdrawn. Claims 17-44 are canceled without prejudice.

Claims 1-3, 9-14, 45, 46 and 49-53 were rejected.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-16 were provisionally rejected under 35 USC 101 as claiming the same

invention as that of claims 1-16 of co-pending application No. 10/837,359. As a result of

amendment of application No. 10/837,359, claims 1-16 of that application are canceled.

Therefore, it is believed that the provisional rejection is overcome.

Interview Summary

In a first phone interview on June 15, 2006 and a second phone interview on July 11,

2006, the rejections of independent claims 1, 11, 45 and 51 under 35 USC 103(a) and 102(b)

were discussed.

With respect to claims 1, the limitation "an electronics module that communicates with

the first portion, the electronics module attached to the substrate carrier," was discussed and

compared with flange 3 of Seita. It was agreed that claim 1 distinguished over the cited

references and is therefore allowable. It was also agreed that claim 11 distinguished over the

cited references and is similarly allowable.

With respect to claim 45, claim language regarding "the power supply unit providing

power to the first part" was discussed in light of the allowable subject matter identified in claim

4. It was agreed that claim 45, amended to more closely resemble claim 4, would be allowable.

Claim 45 is amended accordingly. This amendment is to clarify the language of claim 45 and is

not believed to narrow the scope of claim 45.

With respect to claim 51, claim language regarding induction coils and inductive

coupling were discussed. In particular, the reasons for allowance of claim 47 were compared

with the language of claim 51. It was agreed that claim 51 is allowable.

Attorney Docket No.: SENS.008US3

FILED VIA EFS

Application No.: 10/718,269

- 7 of 8 -

CONCLUSION

All independent claims are now believed to be in condition for allowance as indicated in the phone interviews discussed above. Because all independent claims are in condition for allowance, all dependent claims are also believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance and an indication of their allowance is requested. However, if the Examiner is aware of any additional matters that should be discussed, a call to the undersigned attorney at: (415) 318-1167 would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter A. Gallagher

Reg. No. 47,584

August 1, 2006

Date

PARSONS HSUE & de RUNTZ LLP

595 Market Street, Suite 1900

San Francisco, California 94105

Telephone: 415.318.1160 (main) Telephone. 415.318.1167 (direct)

Fax: 415.693.0194

Attorney Docket No.: SENS.008US3

FILED VIA EFS

Application No.: 10/718,269

-8 of 8 -